

Crate Climb Risk Management Plan



Lutanda Mt Victoria

School: _____ Year: _____ Dates: _____

Program: _____ Supervising Staff: _____

Activity Context

Crate Climb is fun way to challenge participants in their confidence, teamwork, decision making and balance skills. The Crate Climb at Lutanda Mt Victoria consists of constructing and climbing a tower built from milk crates, with one participant as the climber and two or three others as a build team, while the facilitator belays the climber.

Leader to Participant Ratios

For groups 13yo and above:
1 Activity Facilitator to 17 participants

For groups 8-13yo:
1 Activity Facilitators to 17 participants

For all groups with participants under 18yo:
Group is required to have a supervising adult present

Higher ratios may be required depending on differing group requirements or circumstances

Participation Requirements

Participants are required to demonstrate competence in using belay straps on low course prior to using the high course. Participants are to be fit, healthy and able to follow instructions

For safety, jewellery is to be removed and long hair tied back

Maximum Weight: 110kgs

Minimum Age: 8yo

Pregnant women are restricted from participating in this activity. Further restrictions may apply

Equipment

Participants are required to wear/have the following:

- Sun protection (sunscreen, shirt etc.)
- Closed toe footwear
- Shoulder length shirt/top
- Pants or knee length shorts
- Adequate water
- Any medication required

The following personal protective equipment is provided and must be worn by participants using the course:

- Full Body Harnesses
- Helmets
- Belay straps

Activity Facilitators

Activity Facilitators are trained and signed off as competent to run activity by Activities Manager

Activity Facilitators hold current first aid and CPR certificates

Emergency

Crate Climb is conducted on site and first aid is located nearby
Ambulance time is 15 minutes

Activity Facilitators carry the following equipment:

- First Aid Kit
- UHF Radio

Lutanda: Life Changing Experiences

Risk Management Plan

| Hazard / Risk Description | Existing Control Measures | Risk Rating | | |
|--|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | Likelihood | Consequence | Risk Rating |
| Crate Climb Specific – Risk factors specifically associated with the Crate Climb course activity at Lutanda Mt Victoria | | | | |
| Fall from height | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pre-activity briefing conducted by Activity Facilitator All participants must wear correctly fitted harness and helmet Facilitator is operating the belay line | Rare | Catastrophic | Satisfactory |
| Impact By participant climbing - To other persons - Ground | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Belay run by facilitator Build team instructed on where to stand when tower starts to fall | Unlikely | Moderate | Satisfactory |
| Entanglement | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All loose clothing, jewellery and other objects that may become entangled are to be removed for the activity Long hair is to be tied back for the activity | Unlikely | Moderate | Satisfactory |
| 1. Hair, clothing or jewellery | | | | |
| 2. Fingers getting caught in carabiners | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Participants encouraged to complete the course without holding on to belay cable to keep fingers away from carabineers | Rare | Major | Satisfactory |
| Falling Objects Causing injury; e.g. equipment, loose items etc. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Participants briefed on not carrying loose items, participants checked for loose items before starting course Climber and build team required to wear helmets during tower build Build team briefed on how/where to stand if crates start to fall Observers to be briefed on safe area to wait | Unlikely | Moderate | Satisfactory |
| Chafing Caused by harness rubbing on skin | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> List of appropriate clothing provided to group prior to camp Participants asked to change into appropriate clothing prior to activity to prevent harness resting on skin | Unlikely | Minor | Satisfactory |
| Harness Trauma From falling unconscious while roped | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Participant can be lowered immediately by facilitator | Rare | Major | Satisfactory |
| Inverting Where participant flips upside down while on course | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proper harness fitting demonstrated, and harnesses checked for each participant prior to starting course Harnesses are full body harnesses Attachment point for harnesses discourages accidental flipping | Unlikely | Minor | Satisfactory |
| People – Risk factors associated with the people using the activity | | | | |
| Participant Behaviour Inability or decision to not follow directions or cooperate | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clear behaviour expectations set at start of activity sessions Encouraging supportive environment Maintaining supervision Outline consequences of inappropriate behaviour Removal of individual from activity or cancellation of activity | Unlikely | Major | Satisfactory |
| Participant Ability Unable to complete activity due to: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supportive environment encouraged Participants briefed according to age, ability, experience Participation is based on meeting requirements Demonstration of understanding and/or skills must be shown prior to undertaking activity Participants are guided through activity supportively by Activity Facilitator Activities are challenge by choice - participants are positively challenged but not forced to participate | Likely | Minor | Satisfactory |

Crate Stacking Risk Management Plan – Lutanda Mt Victoria

| Hazard / Risk Description | Existing Control Measures | Risk Rating | | |
|---|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | Likelihood | Consequence | Risk Rating |
| | in activity | | | |
| Activity Facilitator Unsafe practices leading to an injury to a participant caused by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poor supervision by Activity Facilitator | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Activity Facilitators must follow Standard Operating Procedures. Activity Facilitators are trained and signed as competent, by a qualified Activities Instructor with 6 years experience in Crate Climb Courses Vigilant supervision always required by Activity Facilitators Leader personal protective equipment and emergency equipment available at all activity sessions Communication equipment available readily at activity sessions | Unlikely | Major | Satisfactory |
| Group Leader Unsafe practices including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poor supervision by group leaders | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Groups briefed on requirement to provide supervising adult for participants under 18 years old prior to camp and activities Activity Facilitators will stop or cancel activity without adequate supervision from Group Leader | Unlikely | Major | Satisfactory |
| Observers Injury to... Guests or others observing activity while it is running | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Observers not allowed to participate in activity without activity briefing | Unlikely | Major | Satisfactory |
| Unsupervised Users Injury to...Guests on site when activity is not running; Members of public accessing camp land (unauthorised) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Groups briefed on not using facilitated activities without Activity Facilitators Ladders & ropes removed from course when not in use, locked Activity equipment locked away when not in use Course located near security camera and property gate closed at night | Unlikely | Major | Satisfactory |
| Equipment – Risk factors associated with the equipment used for the activity | | | | |
| Equipment Failure Due to improper use, faulty / damaged equipment Ropes, hardware, personal protective equipment | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Activity Facilitators trained in selection, use, inspection, care & maintenance of activity equipment Activity Facilitators perform check of equipment prior to each activity Participants briefed on proper use of equipment All equipment clearly stored and labelled for activity intended for Equipment regularly inspected and maintained Equipment stored, maintained and retired according to manufacturer instructions Equipment used certified by manufacturer as suitable for use | Rare | Major | Satisfactory |
| Environment – Risk factors associated with the environment including weather | | | | |
| Exposure to UV rays Causing sunburn | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shaded areas available at activity for all parts of day Sun smart strategies are encouraged | Possible | Minor | Satisfactory |
| Exposure to Heat Causing dehydration, heat stroke etc. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shaded areas available at activity for all parts of day Sun smart strategies are encouraged Participants are encouraged to drink water, carry water bottles Guests are briefed on location of water filling locations on arrival Activities modified / cancelled if necessary or alternative activities arranged | Unlikely | Moderate | Satisfactory |
| Cold / Wet Weather Causing hypothermia etc. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Weather conditions regularly monitored for adverse conditions Participants briefed on wearing suitable protective clothing Activities modified / cancelled if necessary or alternative activities arranged | Rare | Moderate | Satisfactory |
| Lightning Strike | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Weather conditions regularly monitored for adverse conditions Activity conducted inside so not exposed to lightning except when moving to and from activity Activities modified / cancelled if necessary or alternative activities arranged | Rare | Catastrophic | Satisfactory |
| Injury or Allergic Reaction caused by | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Groups briefed on arrival on appropriate actions for sighting/be bitten by snakes | | | |

Crate Stacking Risk Management Plan – Lutanda Mt Victoria

| Hazard / Risk Description | Existing Control Measures | Risk Rating | | |
|---|--|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| | | Likelihood | Consequence | Risk Rating |
| organism | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Activity area regularly monitored for organisms commonly causing allergic reaction and treated accordingly Suitable medication and first aid to be readily available (user group must provide first aid) Communication equipment readily available Medical assistance within 15 minutes | Unlikely | Major | Satisfactory |
| Injury caused by contact with natural features | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regular checks of activity area carried out and appropriate maintenance performed (sticks removed etc.) Participants briefed on safe areas Activity conducted indoors so limited contact with natural features | Unlikely | Minor | Satisfactory |

Risk Ratings

This risk assessment is measured according to the following risk ratings:

Likelihood Ratings

Likelihood is the description of the probability and frequency. The likelihood of the risks occurring as outlined in Lutanda Mt Victoria risk management plans is determined after the control measures have been implemented.

| Score | Description | Broad description of frequency | Time framed description of frequency |
|-------|----------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | Rare | The event may occur, but only in highly exceptional circumstances | Not expected to occur for years |
| 2 | Unlikely | Could occur at some time | Expected to occur at least annually |
| 3 | Possible | Might occur at some time | Expected to occur at least monthly |
| 4 | Likely | Will probably occur in most circumstances | Expected to occur at least weekly |
| 5 | Almost certain | Is expected to occur in most circumstances | Expected to occur at least daily |

Consequence Ratings

The outcome of an event or situation expressed qualitatively or quantitatively, being the human impact, damage to infrastructure, disruption to public or financial loss.

| Score | Description | Injury or impact details |
|-------|---------------|--|
| 1 | Insignificant | Little or no injury requiring no medical attention; Minimal impact on environment; Insignificant adjustments to program; Insignificant damage to property, normal wear; Some difficulty in retaining competent staff; Expected running costs incurred |
| 2 | Minor | Minor injuries requiring first aid / some medical attention; Minor impact to plants / animals, no disruption to ecosystems; Rescheduling / replacement of program activities to client satisfaction; Damage requiring small repairs, low cost; Some difficulty in attracting, developing, resourcing and retaining competent staff; Minor costs incurred (<\$250) |
| 3 | Moderate | Serious injuries requiring hospitalisation / ongoing medical attention; Ecosystem / landscape self repairs within 12mo; Significant disruption / cancellation of program events; Damage requiring moderate repairs or replacement, moderate cost; Significant difficulty in attracting, developing, resourcing and retaining competent staff; Moderate costs incurred (<\$1,000) |
| 4 | Major | Injuries that are life threatening or causing long term disability; Ecosystem / landscape self repairs within 36mo; Program disruption causing loss of program; Damage requiring high cost/projects for repair/replacement; Guest experience and program is majorly affected by lack of competent staff; Major costs incurred (<\$15,000) |
| 5 | Catastrophic | Fatality; Irrecoverable loss of ecosystem / landscape; Program disruption causing broad loss of reputation; Massive damage, significant replacement of infrastructure required; Programs unable to be fulfilled due to lack of competent staff; Massive costs incurred (>\$15,000) |

The consequence of an incident occurring may vary greatly depending on the individual circumstances of each incident.

Example – A student trips and falls – a detailed analysis of falls might show that although falls occur weekly, more serious injuries from falls only occur once or twice a year. In the main most of the other falls have relatively minor outcomes. Therefore the incident could be scored either according to the severity of the actual outcome, or according to the most likely or typical outcome for that type of incident.

Both approaches are valid, however to ensure consistency Lutanda Mt Victoria has adopted an approach to record the most likely or typical outcome for all incidents.

Risk Rating Matrix

All identified risks are recorded in the following risk matrix according to likelihood and consequence. The purpose of this matrix is to provide a snapshot of all identified risks and establish the level of risk to determine if further treatment is required.

| Likelihood | Consequences | | | | |
|--------------------|-------------------|--|---|--|--|
| | 1 - Insignificant | 2 - Minor | 3 - Moderate | 4 - Major | 5 - Catastrophic |
| 1 - Rare | 1 | 2 | 3 Exposure to cold | 4 Harness trauma, equipment failure, entanglement 2 & 3 | 5 Fall from height, lightning strike, structure failure |
| 2 - Unlikely | 2 | 4 Falling object, injury from environment, chafing, inverting | 6 Entanglement 1, exposure to heat, impact | 8 Observers, unsupervised persons, participant behavior, activity facilitator, allergies, unsafe group leader | 10 |
| 3 - Possible | 3 | 6 Cuts, impact injury, friction burn, UV exposure | 9 | 12 | 15 |
| 4 - Likely | 4 | 8 Slipping/falling onto belay straps, participant ability | 12 | 16 | 20 |
| 5 - Almost certain | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 |

Risk Treatment

1-4 Low Risk

- Risk does not generally need to be managed any further (current controls are adequate), however the risk needs to be monitored for changes in circumstances and current control effectiveness.
- Risk generally may be accepted.

5-9 Medium Risk

- Risk needs to be regularly monitored for any changes and control/treatment effectiveness.
- Risk may be accepted after consideration.

10 -14 High Risk

- Risk is unacceptable, risks must be further treated or removed to bring rating to medium
- Risks are to be re-assessed by Activities Manager

15+ Extreme Risk

- Unacceptable risk, urgent attention is required to treat or remove risks
- Management to consider elimination of activity

Crate Stacking Risk Management Plan – Lutanda Mt Victoria

Risk Assessment Conducted by: *Luke Willersdorf* Position: *Activities & Hosting Manager, Lutanda Mt Victoria*
Date of Risk Assessment: *21st March 2013* Date of Review: *March 2014*
Document Revision: *Version 1*

Risk Assessment Conducted by: *Tim Legge* Position: *Activities & Hosting Manager, Lutanda Mt Victoria*
Date of Risk Assessment: *2nd April 2015* Date of Review: *April 2016*
Document Revision: *Version 2*

Risk Assessment Conducted by: *Tim Legge* Position: *Centre Manager, Lutanda Mt Victoria*
Date of Risk Assessment: *21st October 2016* Date of Review: *October 2017*
Document Revision: *Version 3*

Risk Assessment Conducted by: *Jemima Robinson* Position: *Activities and Hosting Manager, Lutanda Mt Victoria*
Date of Risk Assessment: *20th December 2017* Date of Review: *December 2018*
Document Revision: *Version 4*

Risk Assessment Conducted by: *Thomas Austin* Position: *Outdoor Education Manager, Lutanda Mt Victoria*
Date of Risk Assessment: *24th January 2019* Date of Review: *January 2020*
Document Revision: *Version 5*